



Dear **Fatahul Arifin**, **Min-Wen Wang**, **Guo Wei** :

**CONGRATULATION!!!!**

Your paper, #1350, “**Optimal Design of Plasticizing Screw Using Taguchi Method and Artificial Intelligent Approach**” has been accepted with **minor revision** (Please find your LoA in the attachment of this email).

Please send the revision manuscript within 1 (one) week from the receipt of this email. Prepare and upload revision (final manuscript) in PDF format. Your submission MUST adhere to the IoP template. For guidance, please visit the link:

<https://first.polsri.ac.id/2019/?p=86>

The reviews are below

=====FIRST Review 1 =====

Write your comment about title and abstract of manuscript \*

*Title and abstract are good, complete, and relevant.*

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper? \*

*Nothing*

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted. \*

*Nothing*

\*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

*There is relevance between the research area and the topic of the seminar*

\*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

*Solid work of notable importance. (4)*

\*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

*Significant original work and novel results.(4)*

\*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

*Excellent. (5)*

The reviews are below

=====FIRST Review 2 =====

Write your comment about title and abstract of manuscript \*

*Please focus the abstract on your study and your results.*

Weak aspects: Comments to the author: what are the weak aspects of the paper? \*

- *You just display the table [1]-[4] directly without any explanation.*
- *Less references*
- *methods isn't detailed*

Recommended changes: Recommended changes. Please indicate any changes that should be made to the paper if accepted. \*

- *Determine the originality of the research objectives by showing the need for investigations in the topic area.*
- *The methodology is too short so that the reader will have only little information about the process of the research.*
- *Less references*

\*\*\* Relevance and timeliness: Rate the importance and timeliness of the topic addressed in the paper within its area of research.

*Good*

\*\*\* Technical content and scientific rigour: Rate the technical content of the paper (e.g.: completeness of the analysis or simulation study, thoroughness of the treatise, accuracy of the models, etc.), its soundness and scientific rigour.

*Valid work but limited contribution. (3)*

\*\*\* Novelty and originality: Rate the novelty and originality of the ideas or results presented in the paper.

*Some interesting ideas and results on a subject well investigated. (3)*

\*\*\* Quality of presentation: Rate the paper organization, the clearness of text and figures, the completeness and accuracy of references.

*Readable, but revision is needed in some parts. (3)*

In order to complete the registration, visit the link below

<https://first.polsri.ac.id/2019/?p=90>

Regards,  
The conference chairs