

**A
P
P
E
N
D
I
C
E
S**

Transcript Debate Under The Motion

THIS HOUSE WOULD LEGALIZE MARIJUANA IN CANADA

Malik (1st Speaker of Affirmative Team):

Marijuana is not being legalize in the status quo in Canada itself¹. That is making the society is actually to having free access to this marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen². And because of the demand of society inside in Canada to actually consume the marijuana itself, that is why they could for example consume marijuana as many as they can without any kind of limitation by the government itself³. That we believe it is in danger because once you consume too much marijuana we believe it will cost you those health problems let say your organ will be not function as usual or even if you are being overdose you will die in the end of the day ladies and gentlemen⁴. We believe that is why 45% people in Canada is actually being die because the over consuming the marijuana in status quo itself⁵. But because of their demands is actually too high ladies and gentlemen and the government don't have any kinds of solution to legalize marijuana itself⁶. That is why the stance of us as the government team is that we believe as the government of Canada we will legalize this marijuana itself with several requirements⁷. First of all, we will regulate the distribution and production also the selling of this marijuana itself⁸. Means that, there will be only license that is allow to produce marijuana in the very first place⁹. But secondly, there is also license distributor or even those kind of local shop (for example) that is allow to sell marijuana itself¹⁰. Talking about consumption itself, we believe that first of all teens or even kids cannot have marijuana because we will limit these people who is allowed to buy this only those who is evolve 17 years old or even by their id card itself¹¹. But moreover, they will not have to lunch marijuana because we are also limit their gram consumption because one people is only allowed for example to have 30 grams of marijuana in two days ladies and gentlemen¹². And we believe this 30 grams

marijuana is not danger for them ladies and gentlemen¹³. But even if they want to plant these marijuana in their house, we believe it is allowed but only four plants to make sure that there will be not too many marijuana inside their house itself¹⁴. But moreover, we will also allowed people who is consuming marijuana to actually drive because if the government found them (for example) they are driving in the drunk condition, they will be regarded as the serious or crimes itself¹⁵. Lastly, we are also giving the punishment for every people who is selling or consuming these marijuana outside the regulation of government itself¹⁶. This punishment will be involved of life in prisonment itself, that it is will be really enough for example to deter them to not for example violence this regulation¹⁷. The goal of us of course we want to protect the society in Canada itself¹⁸. So, first of all why we believe it is justifiable to be done, because this is the responsibility of government itself¹⁹. We believe the government of Canada have a really decrease responsibility to protect the health of society itself²⁰. Why? Because in current status quo that the society is actually really harmed by the consumption of this marijuana itself²¹. Let say they are actually consuming too much marijuana and also being overdose and that is making they health let they organ is not function anymore or even in the end of the day they are dying or how talking about those drivers that actually drunk because of the consumption of marijuana they are actually got on to the accident itself and they are actually die in the end of the day²². We believe that this is the responsibility of the government in current status quo because the fact that these society still consuming too much marijuana even if they have interest let say they want to have those kinds of relax feeling and so on and so far²³. We believe that these society is in irrational condition because they don't even know for example the effect when they are consuming these marijuana itself²⁴. And we believe that because in the very first place they are very addicted toward this marijuana itself. We believe that government as protector of society itself is the one that is rational to make that this society will not consume this too much marijuana and also harming the health of them in the end of the day²⁵. Ladies and gentlemen, we believe in the current status, we believe that ladies and gentlemen, this is the only

form of responsibility of this government is only in form legalizing this²⁶. Why? Because in Canada we cannot neglect that the demand of society is really high Ladies and gentlemen that almost every society want to actually have these marijuana and also consume it²⁷. And we believe as the government we cannot stop these demands because if we ban this Ladies and gentlemen of course for example this demand will still increase because people want to have it they will still seek other places to got this²⁸. We believe this demand in current status quo is not being facilitated by government and creating those harm toward the society itself because they are buying this marijuana in other place let say those illegal place that is not supervised by the government and also controlled by government²⁹. Those actually able to buy as much as they want for example these marijuana and consume it as much as they want³⁰. We believe that, because of there is no control and no regulation coming from government³¹. The government doesn't know how much for example marijuana that these people is consuming³². We believe that in their side of the house, we believe that comparative leader of responsibility of the government is actually really not exist because they are letting their society to consume those marijuana and also got those kinds of harms that we have explained to you³³. We believe that because the society's demand is actually uncontrol, we cannot have any other solution except legalizing these marijuana itself³⁴. But secondly, we believe that legalizing these marijuana will be really be able to protect the society itself³⁵. How ladies and gentlemen? First of all, we will regulate this kind of thing³⁶. First of all, we will only let one people to actually have 30grams of marijuana in two days³⁷. That is based on the doses of doctors itself, that those grams of marijuana are not dangerous for them³⁸. But why would the society only use these 30 grams? Because we have told you that the will only able these marijuana in those license distributor Ladies and gentlemen, which means that they will not be able to buy it anywhere that they want to buy Ladies and gentlemen³⁹. Moreover this is the same cases how the gun is actually being regulated by the government in the United States because we believe that distributors will know whether this people have been bought this or not Ladies and gentlemen⁴⁰. But

secondly, we believe that, even if they want to plant these in a house there will be no change for them to actually plant as much as they want because there will only four plants to actually plant Ladies and gentlemen⁴¹. Lastly, why they would only follow this regulation, because we believe that there will a really cool punishment for them if they violence these regulations itself. Because there will be life in prisonment and so on and so far⁴². Why we believe it will protect the society?⁴³ Because in the end of the day, society afraid to fail these regulation they will follow these Ladies and gentlemen at the end of the day we believe that once they are not overdose and not consume too much marijuana as they can, they have told to society will be protected itself⁴⁴. Why? because it has been based on the dose of doctors itself and it will not be danger of them ladies and gentlemen there will be no health problems in the end of the day for Canada itself that we proposed⁴⁵.

Nanda (1st Speaker of Negative Team):

It's very shocking when the positive team define the definition of responsible government as the government that suddenly will follow every demand of society even if it will harms the society itself ladies and gentlemen¹. We don't think this is the good definition of responsible government². We'll show you what is actually responsible government in our side ladies and gentlemen³. But before that let's debate some points of positive team⁴. We believe that there is a big jumping logic that is brought by positive team⁵. They believe the problem like this, the society demand marijuana and they are looking for it in the black market⁶. And the solution that they offered is suddenly legalizing this kind of marijuana without having an effort to close this black market that we know exactly that it means that this black market even is the root of problem why that the society could have the access over these marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen' take for example the society can get illegal things or even sell illegal thing in the black market such as gun, organs of body, drugs, extinct animal or

even plants, etc⁷. Why actually it is bad?⁸ Because since the beginning they even never explain to us what is in the status quo right now defend that is the effort of the government combating this black market itself ladies and gentlemen⁹. So this is why ladies and gentlemen, as the negative team it is our stress ladies and gentlemen¹⁰. We've told you that we will tell you actually in the status quo right now, the effort of government of Canada on combating this black market is very big ladies and gentlemen¹¹. That this is become the priority of the government in the status quo right now and this is the stance of the negative team that we're going to fight against this black market itself with as big as effort that we could do¹². First of all, for example we are going to improve our law enforcement itself¹³. First of all, we are going to increase the punishment that in status quo only the jail punishment itself¹⁴. We are going to improve it to for example to the life sentence or even death penalty itself¹⁵. Because just like they've told you before, in the status quo it is already become a very urgent case that every faulted and international society die because of this marijuana itself¹⁶. We think that it is ok for us to take a very hard punishment to these people itself ladies and gentlemen¹⁷. But beside that, we are also going to focus in our police ladies and gentlemen to combat this marijuana itself because in status quo right now we are too much focus on terrorism side we focus our police to terrorism itself and forgetting about this ladies and gentlemen¹⁸. This is what we are going to do¹⁹. But second of all ladies and gentlemen, they believe that actually why it will be effective because the society will follow every regulation that they make ladies and gentlemen²⁰. What is the reason?²¹ Only because the society will be afraid with the punishment²². If the society really afraid with the punishment then the society will never go to the black market before ladies and gentlemen²³. It shows to you that actually in the status quo right now the society do not afraid with any kind of punishments²⁴. Means that, even all of the complicated requirement that have been bring the big one effort will not work at all ladies and gentlemen²⁵. So, where is our point?²⁶ We'll bring three points, it will directly press the point of positive team²⁷. First of all how we believe it is actually ineffective policy ladies and gentlemen²⁸.

What is the part parameter whether their proposal is effective or not?²⁹ First of all, whether the addictive society over the marijuana itself they sick or not and second of all whether the black market is still exist or not ladies and gentlemen³⁰. We believe these two things will still exist in the status quo right now even if we'll legalize this³¹. Why we believe that actually even if you are limiting the age of the society or even the doses of marijuana that could be consumed by the society ladies and gentlemen³². This addictive society will still exist³³. Why? Because first of all you need to characterize the society that becoming the consumer of this marijuana itself³⁴. First of all, it is exist the society that that actually already becoming addictive to this marijuana that haven't been caught by the government itself³⁵. This society still exist in the society itself³⁶. They are the one that will be the buyer of this marijuana itself³⁷. But second of all, also their society that addict they just try this marijuana because the government right now already legalize this right now ladies and gentlemen³⁸. We believe this kind of two people ladies and gentlemen, will still be addictive ladies and gentlemen³⁹. Why? Because the first persons that are already characterized ladies and gentlemen, this number of doses never enough for them ladies and gentlemen⁴⁰. Because before this marijuana is being legalize they already addicted or even habituate to consume as much as possible ladies and gentlemen that they could get ladies and gentlemen⁴¹. Means that actually they will still seek marijuana in black market itself⁴². But second of all, for the people that just try for marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen, we believe that actually since this thing ladies and gentlemen already becoming the new way on recreation ladies and gentlemen or already becoming the new way to run from their stress itself ladies and gentlemen⁴³. Means that, this is the only way that they know to handle their stress life ladies and gentlemen⁴⁴. It means that, actually every time they have stress itself ladies and gentlemen they will go to this marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen⁴⁵. Because we cannot measure how many times of people could the stress itself ladies and gentlemen⁴⁶. Means that, the limitation that being given by the government will never enough for them ladies and gentlemen⁴⁷. They will still seek to the black market itself ladies and gentlemen⁴⁸. We

believe that, in the other side also still exist those people that cannot be reached by the legal market itself, right?⁴⁹ It is the thing that teenage itself ladies and gentlemen⁵⁰. We believe that this teenage cannot be covered by this legal market itself right?⁵¹ Means what? Means, they still will seek for the black market itself ladies and gentlemen⁵². Because of these three kinds of buyer itself they are demanding for this black market to still exist ladies and gentlemen⁵³. We believe this is why the black market still exist because of the big number of people that demanding itself ladies and gentlemen⁵⁴. We believe that actually why it is bad?⁵⁵ Means that all of the bad things that come from marijuana itself will still exist right ladies and gentlemen⁵⁶. Means that yes it's not solving anything⁵⁷. Why it is better in our side?⁵⁸ Because we are directly focus to the black market itself ladies and gentlemen⁵⁹. They cannot say that in our side we will still focus on black market, if you still focus on black market, means that he don't need any way to legalize this kind of marijuana right? Ladies and gentlemen⁶⁰. That's why we will go directly to focus on to the black market itself⁶¹. We are going to increasing the punishment for people that sell this marijuana itself for example life sentence or even the death penalty just like what happened in Singapore for example ladies and gentlemen⁶². And it is proved effective⁶³. Why? Because in status quo right now, the only punishment is guilt punishment because Canada is in dilemma whether they will break the human right or not ladies and gentlemen⁶⁴. We say to you that actually it is our moment to ok in this moment to surprise this human right itself ladies and gentlemen⁶⁵. Because they are harming the big number of our society itself ladies and gentlemen⁶⁶. We think why it is effective ladies and gentlemen?⁶⁷ Because it means that these people ladies and gentlemen, the consumer and also the seller itself ladies and gentlemen they will face a bigger punishment that they never think before ladies and gentlemen⁶⁸. First of all, for the buyer itself⁶⁹. They will seek for the other place ladies and gentlemen that will having the laser punishment for them ladies and gentlemen⁷⁰. So we believe, and so does with this consumption itself ladies and gentlemen, and the other side for this consumer itself will there is better supervision

order to catch these people and sending them to rehabilitation itself ladies and gentlemen⁷¹. This is why we say to you that actually it is are not effective and are not better in our side⁷². But second of all, the point is morally bad ladies and gentlemen for the government to do this because if government introducing a better technique for the society itself⁷³. As develop nation, the focus of develop nation right now is how to make society not only have a good economy and make the society happy right Ladies and gentlemen⁷⁴. This is why we say to you that actually in status quo right now the develop nation for example introducing technology for example to make the society have a good thing of happiness⁷⁵. We think this policy backlash with the responsibility⁷⁶.

Ceka (2nd Speaker of Affirmative Team):

The use of marijuana is going to legalize in our country because of we already provide the supervision that coming from government and already give the protection toward the society itself, Mr. Speaker¹. Go to severals rebuttal toward the negative team side in the house how for the Canada say, how they afraid if already ineffective policy because of it will be create addicted and it can trigger the black market, Mr. Speaker². But, unfortunately for the negative at the house how this indication already exist also Mr. Speaker in the status quo³. How, without the agreement coming from the government, and the addictive will be exist⁴. Moreover, the black market also exist Mr. Speaker⁵. But we believe in our side it don't exist, Mr. Speaker⁶. Because of why, Because as the government we already set the parameter, Mr. Speaker such as if you want to consume, if you want to use this marijuana itself Mr. Speaker with the parameter that already setting toward the society itself Mr. Speaker⁷. Moreover, how we already trigger the punishment Mr. Speaker toward the society that do the black market itself Mr. Speaker⁸. Even if this punishment is not enough that is easy we just improve the punishment until these dowers will get deterrent until in the end of the

day itself, Mr. Speaker⁹. So, in our proposal it will be better even if for example it can create the addictive and black market in the end of the day under our proposal¹⁰. We believe the addictive and black market it will be safety rather than negative at the side because of the supervisions that from the government that control the distribute of the marijuana itself, Mr. Speaker¹¹. Go to second rebuttal, Mr. Speaker¹². How they claimed it is already bad morality this marijuana itself, Mr. Speaker¹³. We say no, Mr. Speaker¹⁴. How they already over generalize if the use of the marijuana under of pursuing happiness Mr. Speaker, but you don't care about the people that addictive about the marijuana under of the healthy cases for example that very need, Mr. Speaker¹⁵. Take a look the case of, the several cases because of the use of marijuana it important for example to repair the life, Mr. Speaker¹⁶. So they don't care about this thing, Mr. Speaker¹⁷, Yes kak nanda.

POI (nanda): first of all, the prime minister never said it is for a purpose, but even if it is for an purpose it has been legalize in Canada.

That we believe, Mr. Speaker how they don't set the parameter how they already banned for example the marijuana is the bad cases Mr. Speaker that give the harms toward the society, Mr. Speaker¹⁸. Then we believe it doesn't exist under those proposal not our proposal Mr. Speaker¹⁹. Go to several points in our cases Mr. Speaker²⁰. First of all, how it can improve the economic in the country, company, and the worker Mr. Speaker in the status quo also²¹. And for the second, how it can give chance toward the person Mr. Speaker under of the health cases²². Go to first point, how it can improve the economic side in the country, company, and the worker Mr. Speaker²³. Go to country itself, how the country already legalize this thing Mr. Speaker²⁴. It means how the country already allowed the company to run that produce for example to distribute the marijuana itself Mr. Speaker²⁵. That we believe, with run the marijuana produce Mr. Speaker²⁶. It means this company already get profit right? Already get the income²⁷. And as the government that already facilitate them Mr. Speaker as company, so the government have the right to take the tax Mr. Speaker

toward the company itself Mr. Speaker²⁸. How the tax is very important right, that the status quo to helping the economics of the country itself Mr. Speaker²⁹. It is different if you don't allow this thing Mr. Speaker with no regulation that coming from the government even for example the profit already run without the allowing that coming from the government but the profit just go toward the person that do this thing Mr. Speaker not go to company itself³⁰. How because of the country don't facilitate this company itself³¹. Why it is bad, Mr. Speaker? If you give the tax toward the company itself it means you take the income toward the company and the company can give the welfare toward the society right? How this money can go to the society³². But it is different if the company that don't allowed by the government itself. There is money toward their self itself³³. And it is the possibility to do criminal things Mr. Speaker because of the idea of competition will be exist and don't parameter by the government itself³⁴. And we believe the economic also will run toward the company itself³⁵. Because of why, Because in the status quo if you don't allow this company itself it means the company will hide for example your business Mr. Speaker. How even if this regulation will give harms toward the society³⁶. How if you already give the harm toward the society, the government can punish you right? So the way to do this, how you will hide for example your business itself, Mr. Speaker³⁷. So that's why if you already allow it with the parameter of the government and the regulation coming from the government and government's control Mr. Speaker³⁸. How your will for example brave to run your business Mr. Speaker³⁹. How you will can trigger the more markets Mr. Speaker because of the government already provide the market for you Mr. Speaker to sell your product for example Mr. Speaker⁴⁰. Moreover, how it already proved to us how the economics of the company will be run right it gained the profit toward the company⁴¹. And more the last how it already create a betterment toward the worker itself Mr. Speaker⁴². Because of why, If you already guaranteed by the government, it means the government already guarantee the worker itself Mr. Speaker⁴³. What the guarantee? Start from welfare, health care, and others Mr. Speaker and in the status quo without allow this thing⁴⁴. How this parameter just go

by the company itself and the company just profit oriented Mr. Speaker that they more purpose the profit without they care about their worker itself Mr. Speaker⁴⁵. How it bad also right toward the worker⁴⁶. But it is different if you already allowed it⁴⁷. How the government can interfere, can interfere for example toward the regulation of the company because of the government already facilitate them Mr. Speaker such as government can force the company to create the standard living toward the worker itself Mr. Speaker⁴⁸. Start form welfare, care, and other Mr. Speaker⁴⁹. How it's better how it can create the protection toward the worker itself Mr. Speaker⁵⁰. Even if the company can for example do the orbiteering toward the worker itself and it can be harmed toward the worker itself right⁵¹. How if the company act the work thing, the worker can for example effort toward the government and the government can protect the worker itself Mr. Speaker⁵². Under those reasons we back to proposed⁵³.

Tiara (2nd Speaker of Negative Team):

Affirmative side in the house is actually failed to bring the debate into the right path¹. On how we believe that those kind of problems and also mechanism about the legalization of the drugs it actually doesn't even exist in the status quo². Because why? because we believe every people is actually already know if Canada have legalize the marijuana for the health purpose in 2004³. So does their mechanism that actually wants for example to distribute the drugs to the governments it actually already implemented in the status quo⁴. On how the government already create the regulations for example in one kind of places and one kind of circumstances⁵. The society that actually very need this drugs can reach this kind of drags by asking for the government itself⁶. So does we believe that in term of legalizing the drugs for the sake of the health of society already implemented inside the status quo⁷. We believe the issue that need to be brought by positive side the house is actually about the

marijuana that related to the demand of the society that is about the recreational marijuana in the very first beginning⁸. On how we believe that the recreational of marijuana is actually the legalization of drug for only the entertainment for the society itself⁹. Not for the health of the society itself¹⁰. Those kinds of issues that should be brought by positive team side the house¹¹. But before coming to my arguments, I would like to bring several rebuttals from the positive team¹². On how they believe that by legalizing this policy on how they could improve the economic inside the society¹³. We say yes, you might get the biggest amount of tax, the biggest tax of money for the Canadian government¹⁴. But unfortunately, what government did to the society is very cruel and categorized as a bad thing¹⁵. Because Why? Because government sacrificing the society, the health of the society for example to entertain them self by using marijuana and also killing the society under the sake they will get as much as money that is actually coming from the tax of the marijuana itself¹⁶. So on how we believe that, as a good government, government don't need to sacrifice the society to do the bad thing for the government itself¹⁷. Moreover, we believe that the society is already have so much welfare for example because the standard of living in Canada is actually bigger¹⁸. On how the GDP for this kind of country is 63 billion compare to the western countries so on how we believe we don't need to improve the another standard living of the country that actually high by using the bad thing provided by government side¹⁹. Sequence speech I am gonna improve to you two things why basically we very oppose this proposal²⁰. First of all, on how their by their proposal it is the form of the dehumanizing society²¹. Secondly, on how that the job paradise that actually portray by the government will harms the Canada itself²². First of all on how we believe it is the form of dehumanizing the society²³. Because why, because the government is actually justifying the wrong purpose of the marijuana that is for pursuing their happiness not for health purpose such as cancer and so on²⁴. Because we believe the principal of the recreational is actually to make you sense is actually happy²⁵. But the thing is that, the government is actually allowing you for example to harm yourself for example get illusions or even

hallucinations for example that could lead you for example into the addiction until you overdose²⁶. Because why? Because the government open the chance for the society for example to get the drugs because in their mechanism they actually justifying every drug store until get license could easily sell the drugs itself²⁷. What does it means?²⁸ Means that government is actually justifying as long as you are happy for example government doesn't care it is harming you or not just go in that place²⁹. So on how we believe that government is actually categorized as the bad government³⁰. Secondly, on how we believe that the job paradise that actually could harms the Canada itself under their mechanism³¹. First, the prediction of the marijuana is actually freely produce and secondly the selling is actually very free inside of the market itself³². So on how we believe that society are allowed to plant marijuana and also raise them, watering them, as if they are planting the vegetables itself³³. Because there is actually the mechanism that they brought in this debate at the very first beginning³⁴. So on how we believe that the Canada could be seen as the biggest exporter or even the production of the marijuana inside of the western itself³⁵. But on how we believe that this kind of thing is bed³⁶. See how the Mexico is actually seen as the biggest market for the marijuana itself the next the western country for example like United State for example create the very big border and also very big wall for example to separate the Mexican society and also united states to prevent the influence and also the mobilization of the transferring the drug itself inside status quo³⁷. Moreover, we believe that once you actually have the legalization on this drug so on how we believe the drug actors or even the drug activities that actually harming the society could be exist inside in Canada³⁸. We don't want this Canada becoming just like what exist inside the mexico itself³⁹. We believe there is also possibility for the United State, because the United States see the Canadian is actually as the second producer⁴⁰. because why, because the legalization of the marijuana can make the society for example becoming influence to get the source for example to get the marijuana inside the status quo⁴¹. By those kind of reasons we back to propose, Thank you⁴².

Fitria (3rd Speaker of Affirmative Team):

Ladies and gentlemen several rebuttals exist in this debate¹. First of all they believe that actually the proposal is ineffective under two reasons². First of all, because the addict person still exist right now Ladies and gentlemen³. We say no, right⁴. Because our proposal government directly obligate you and force you to consume marijuana based on the number or the doses that set by the government itself right⁵. The government will directly educate their society which they must fit with the number of marijuana that provided by the government at the first time itself ladies and gentlemen⁶. Why this is also create the deterrent effect and make the society will not addict again ladies and gentlemen⁷. Because under our proposal we also provide punishment to them so they can't touching marijuana over ladies and gentlemen⁸. For example we limit the number of marijuana that they can consume in 30grams or even just four plants that they can plant in their home ladies and gentlemen⁹. We think those kind of number that we limit at the first time it will make their society will fit to consume the number of marijuana itself, right¹⁰. If they also violence those kind of regulations that provide by our proposal they will sent to the jail ladies and gentlemen with a long time right, even lifetime ladies and gentlemen¹¹. Why we believe this punishment enough to change the action of this people to make this people is not addict again ladies and gentlemen¹². Because in term you enter in jail landies and gentlemen you will lose your freedom in your life right, for example meet your love family even you can lose your job ladies and gentlemen¹³. Those kind of thing will make you to consider about your action to not addict or even consume marijuana over and over ladies and gentlemen¹⁴. This is how our proposal make sure about the addictive person itself¹⁵. Second reason because the black market still exist for example right now ladies and gentlemen¹⁶. We say no ladies and gentlemen, because

under our proposal we still provide the company or the local market that have license by the government which the government also make sure that this company or this local market have a proportionally or even have some regulation and they can obey the regulations that provided by the government ladies and gentlemen¹⁷. We think it is impossible if the black market still exist right now¹⁸. Because under our proposal we also do and give a punishment to the people that have this business ladies and gentlemen¹⁹. We still send them to the gentlemen in the lifetime too ladies and gentlemen²⁰. Means, we think the government under our proposal, government also strengthen their action to actually make sure that the distribution of marijuana under control of the government itself right²¹. We think in term of you give a license to the company, this is the moment when this company also run their obligation itself right²². It is impossible, in term the black market still exist under our proposal ladies and gentlemen²³. So that's why we believe our proposal sure effective to actually make sure the health of the society itself²⁴. Beside we control the number of the marijuana that they can still consume we still make sure that actually the company or the market that exist right now are actually that are legal ladies and gentlemen²⁵. Second of all, they believe that actually it is morally bad to the government because the government give justification point to their society that want to consume even until create a harm to this people itself²⁶. We say no ladies and gentlemen, we think it is the morally good to the government itself because under our proposal we also as a good government we also fall the demand of the society and we directly control the demand of them, ladies and gentlemen²⁷. You cannot simply saying that the marijuana is the bad thing so that's why government must prohibit this ladies and gentlemen²⁸. But unfortunately, under their proposal you don't prevent any kind of action that can done by this people for example they can buy in another country ladies and gentlemen²⁹. They take the marijuana by another country around the word ladies and gentlemen even until they can buy in the black market ladies and gentlemen³⁰. We say under our proposal we still legalize that the purposing of this legalize also make the society can consume it with the good number even until with the significant

good effect to the society itself right³¹. Because we think under our proposal we also consider that actually the number of the marijuana cannot be consumed with the high number ladies and gentlemen³². We think this is how the government have morally good in term they want to protect the health of the society itself³³. Last rebuttal, they believe that actually this is form of dehumanizing of the society itself ladies and gentlemen³⁴. We say no ladies and gentlemen³⁵. Because this proposal could make sure the marijuana cannot be consumed with the high number right³⁶. Means we also make the society will consume this based on the parameter that setting by the government³⁷. We think it is really enough in terms we will fulfill dehumanizing of the society itself³⁸. We think the sources of the marijuana will be limited at the first time itself right³⁹. We think there is no such kind of for example free market about the marijuana that can export in another county by a lot of company that purposing this company to profit oriented ladies and gentlemen⁴⁰. Under our proposal we prevent all of these right⁴¹. We think there's only internal company for example that can still produce even until distribute and sell the marijuana in the Canada itself ladies and gentlemen⁴². It is different under their proposal which their proposal don't prevent all of the people that want to selling this to export by another country around the world itself ladies and gentlemen⁴³. There is no such kind of control that providing by the government under their proposal to distribute this marijuana over and over again ladies and gentlement⁴⁴. We back to propose⁴⁵.

Nia (3rd Speaker of Negative Team):

Mr and Mrs Speaker we believe that both of team here are agree that we should protect the society itself ladies and gentlemen¹. But in this case the government team believe that to protect this society we should legalize this marijuana because the government can limit the society to consume this marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen². But we are as the opposition team believe that we should protect the

society by fight the black market that always make the society can consume marijuana that create people die ladies and gentlemen³. But before that several rebuttal toward the government team⁴. First of all, they said that the responsibility of the government based on the demand of the society itself ladies and gentlemen⁵. Yes we believe that the responsibility of the government can based on the demand of the society but we believe that in this case the demand of the society cannot fulfill by the government⁶. Because of why, because in this case demand of the society is a bad thing ladies and gentlemen⁷. Because of why, Because we believe that marijuana is something that can make society be addicted and also this marijuana can make the society be hallucination and doing crime and so on and so far ladies and gentlemen⁸. So why the government team still can believe that by legalize this marijuana it kind of responsibility of the government ladies and gentlemen⁹. And we believe that by legalize this marijuana the government support their society to be bad ladies and gentlemen¹⁰. And the second one the government team said that by legalize marijuana the government can control the consumption of the marijuana and in the end of the day it will protect the society that they believe the society will follow the regulations ladies and gentlemen¹¹. We say no ladies and gentlemen¹². Because of why, Because we believe that by legalize this marijuana the government will never control the consumption of the society and even they never told to us why the society want to follow the regulations ladies and gentlemen¹³. Since we are as the opposition team believe that the society will addicted with this marijuana ladies and gentlemen¹⁴. And the moment when this society addicted they will be irrational people ladies and gentlemen¹⁵. And they never care with the regulation anymore ladies and gentlemen¹⁶. And they will still looking for the marijuana in every way ladies and gentlemen¹⁷. So we believe that by legalize this marijuana the government will never protect the society and even the government letting their society to consume it and in the end of the day it will create the massive number like they said before 45 % people die because of they are consume this marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen¹⁷. And in the end of the day the goal of government to protect the society will never be

achieved under their proposal ladies and gentlemen¹⁸. So now, why we are as the opposition team offer to you and make us better than the government team ladies and gentlemen¹⁹. First, we are as the opposition team believe that if the government legalize this marijuana it will backlashing with the idea of government responsibility ladies and gentlemen²⁰. Because of why, because of we are as the opposition team believe that the responsibility of the government is how the government can protect their society ladies and gentlemen²¹. And in this status quo right now we believe that in Canada there are massive number like 45 % people die ladies and gentlemen²². But if the government still legalize this marijuana it means there can be bad impact of the society itself ladies and gentlemen²³. It means that the government will never want to protect this society ladies and gentlemen²⁴. Compare with us as the opposition team we believe that we should believe that we should to not legalize this black market because the black market is hampering the society ladies and gentlemen²⁵. So that's why we believe that the opposition team is the only one team that can make the government in line with their responsibility ladies and gentlemen²⁶. And the second one, how by not legalize this marijuana the government can success to protect the society and fight the black market itself ladies and gentlemen²⁷. And in the very first beginning, my first speaker already told to you that in our mechanism we are the opposition team will improve the punishment to the people who sell this marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen²⁸. For example giving them life sentence punishment and also death penalty ladies and gentlemen²⁹. We believe that this kind of punishment will make this people be afraid³⁰. Because of why, because there is no one people that not afraid with this death penalty ladies and gentlemen³¹. Because when this people get that penalty they will never meet with their family and so on and so far ladies and gentlemen³². So we believe that the moment when this people afraid to sell the drugs it means the society cannot to buy the marijuana itself ladies and gentlemen³³. And the moment when they cannot to consume the marijuana they will never have bad impact creating by this marijuana like hallucination problem and so on and so far ladies and gentlemen³⁴. So we believe that by opposition mechanism it will success

to protect the society compare with the government inside the house³⁵. They said that government should legalize the marijuana because they believe that the government will limit the consumption of this marijuana³⁶. We say no ladies and gentlemen³⁷. Because the addictive people will be the irrational people and they will never care with the regulations anymore and they will still looking for the marijuana that can make their happy and so on and so far ladies and gentlemen³⁸. So that why by those kinds of reason we back to oppose³⁹.

